

hel
global network player authority PewDiePie guilt god Lets Play angel undead wtf authentic mediatization Skill pvp contest
game rule system avatar WoW blessing noob kills demon fact body fight pop spe ingame PSt discussion digital
religion game analysis The Last of Us healing you religion to-escape soul dialo ritual virtual identity buff priest genesis clan wedding
simulation ludology death resurrection funeral runes immersion community symbols salvation mage xbox 360PVE
narrative



Special Issue

**Current Key Perspectives
in Video Gaming and Religion.**

by

Gregory Grieve,
Kerstin Radde-Antweiler,
and Xenia Zeiler

Issue 03 (2015)

articles

Introduction

by Gregory Grieve, Kerstin Radde-Antweiler, Xenia Zeiler, 1

Current Key Perspectives in Video Gaming and Religion: Theses by Jason Anthony.

by Jason Anthony, 7

Current Key Perspectives in Video Gaming and Religion: Theses by Ian Bogost.

by Ian Bogost, 16

Current Key Perspectives in Video Gaming and Religion: Theses by Owen Gottlieb.

by Owen Gottlieb, 18

Current Key Perspectives in Video Gaming and Religion: Theses by Gregory Grieve.

by Gregory Grieve, 26

Current Key Perspectives in Video Gaming and Religion: Theses by Kerstin Radde-Antweiler.

by Kerstin Radde-Antweiler, 30

Current Key Perspectives in Video Gaming and Religion: Theses by Rachel Wagner.

by Rachel Wagner, 37

Current Key Perspectives in Video Gaming and Religion: Theses by Michael Waltemathe.

by Michael Waltemathe, 50

Current Key Perspectives in Video Gaming and Religion: Theses by Xenia Zeiler.

by Xenia Zeiler, 53

Current Key Perspectives in Video Gaming and Religion: Response by Michael Houseman.

by Michael Houseman, 61

Transcript File

(71-138)

Audio File

family and community both afloat. Through the game system we seek to model the competing interests of individuals within a tightly bound community. Using researched historical and cultural milieus, the game is intended to provide a basis for deeper exploration of the time period, culture, and context of the law.

What methods and research questions do you recommend?

My research in games is most often centered on learning environments and the acquisition of cultural practice. I seek new learning theory and design knowledge to enhance formal and informal learning environments. Given the nature of the questions that I ask, I most often use design-based research (DBR). DBR is an umbrella category of research methods comprised of pragmatically selected mixed methods. DBR holds media and learning theory as suspect during a course of field iterations, data gathering analysis, and return to theory. For example, I will begin with learning theory and develop an initial pilot game which I will bring into the field and test with learners, gather data, analyze data, return to the theory and make changes and shifts to move closer to the learning goals. Then we repeat the cycle, working towards better learning outcomes and revision of the theory based on what I learn through observing learners.

Some of the kinds of mixed methods I use include participant observation (including video and audio), pre and post surveys, semi-structure interviews, review of server logs of player choices and movements, analysis of table talk, analysis of design artifacts (when learners participate in design workshops). Key to the outcomes of the research methods are providing warrants for claims and providing context, as each learning environment is unique. Hoadley (2013) states that DBR is particularly suited to highly contextualized settings in which people have agency.

Because it is so challenging to develop engrossing games that also includes learning objectives, I have shifted towards particular aspects of religious civilization that are suited to games, namely laws. Elsewhere (Gottlieb 2015b), I have argued of the relationship between rabbinic literature and game systems. Particularly relevant to choice of methods is my need to both iterate the design artifact in the learning environment and to be able to observe and analyze the processes that learners go through during play.

Do scholars have to play a game to analyze it?

Do film scholars have to actually watch a film in order to analyze it? Should a philologist actually read ancient manuscripts? Should a sports writer covering baseball have at some point in the past actually played a game of ball? We expect scholars and critics of various media to fully engross in that media. We expect anthropologists to live and hangout among the people about whom they write. Not having played a game does not preclude discussing the game, but if the game is central to the argument or analysis, then a first hand knowledge of the gameplay is ideal. Analysis of a game from the scholarly perspective should expect at the least a deep understanding of the mechanics, procedures, and a variety of play scenarios.

There are cases in which film scholars cannot watch the films about which they write, because in some cases the films are lost forever, as is the case with many silent films. In those cases, scholars go to great lengths to recover photographs, scripts, on-set accounts. Similarly, many video games today can no longer be played. There are not functional emulators for many video game systems of the past, and even with emulators,

